



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CommissionER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS washington D.C. 20211 www.ospio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,425		10/23/2001	Bryan Kevin Clark	3675P2374 2548		
23504	7590	03-27-2003				
WEISS & MOY PC				EXAMINER		
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251				РНАМ,	M, HOA Q	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877			
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)				
	10/002,425	CLARK, BRYAN KEVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoa Q. Pham	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,-	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
Application Papers	oloolon roquironioni.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Sôme * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C.D. A. A.T. Land Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/002,425

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "**light beam**" in claims 1, 13, 16, 17 and the "**scanning system**" in claims 1,13, 16, 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Page 15, line 10 and page 16, line 16, the number "21" should be changed to -26--.
  - b. Page 15, line 21, the number "13" should be changed to -23--.
  - c. Page 17, line 6, the number "16" should be changed to -15--.
  - d. Page 17, line 7, the number "15" should be changed to –16--.
  - e. Page 20, line 3, the beam "22" is not shown in figures.

٠,

These are some examples; applicant is required to correct all of the minor informalities such as typos, grammars, inconsistent of terms (and/or numerals).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 2877

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 3.

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Specification, page 20, teaches "the partially reflective surface produces a Fabry-Perot optical resonant cavity with surface under inspection"; however, claims 4 and 18 recites that the partially reflective surface is "a coating deposited on the surface under inspection". Thus, it is not clear how an optical resonant cavity is produced when there is no space between the partially reflective surface and the surface under inspection.

- Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing 4. subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As mentioned above.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said device" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 6. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/002,425

Art Unit: 2877

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .
White (3,901,597) in view of PRIOR ART (Figure 1 of the present invention).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, White discloses a laser distance measuring device comprises an illuminating system (10) for producing a beam to the surface (15) under inspection, a detector (18) for measuring the reflected light from the surface, a spatially reflective surface (12, 20, 52), positioned between the surface under inspection and illuminating system forming a resonator with the surface under inspection, and scanning system (30) for moving the beam across the surface under inspection (see figures 1-8, column 2, lines 57-59, column 4, lines 5-24). White does not explicitly teach that the device could be used for inspecting defects on the surface that exceeding a predetermined height. However, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Figure 1 of the present invention (PRIOR ART). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the basis device of White for detecting the defects as taught by PRIOR ART if additional measurement is desired.

Regarding claims 4, 6, 18, White teaches that the white reflector (14) located near the surface (15) under inspection, thus it would have been obvious to deposit a coating layer on the surface (15) or an optical element to form a reflector because they are function in the same manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,425

Art Unit: 2877

Regarding claims 7-10, see positioner (61) and controller (66-76) in figure 8 of White.

Regarding claims 11 and 21, using a plurality detector such as quadrant detectors for detecting the height or tilt of a surface is well known in the art, thus it would have been obvious to replace the detector of White by a plurality of detectors for the purpose of detecting the height or tilt of the surface under inspection if additional measurement is desired.

Regarding claims 12 and 23, the element (14) is considered as a second tier.

Regarding claims 19-20, 22, figure 8 of White shows a controller (61) for controlling the partially reflective surface (52).

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references are relative to surface inspection device: Batchelder et al (5,220,403), Clark (6,522,471), Pernick (4,334,780 and 4,465,371), Monchalin (4,659,224).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the : examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/002,425 Art Unit: 2877 for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Hoa Q. Pham

**Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2877

HP March 22, 2003